



The Devils Views...

Je Suis Roi

By Gareth Bowen

[this is crap btw as speeches/rants go as a monarch you have been warned and needs a rewrite]

The law goes to freewill and consent only

The rest is bollocks except for when majorities try and freeze minorities out or when the one gets too powerful and does the same.

Parliament is not the law, no man, government or religion shall steal the law, hide the law, or censor it or claim it as their own. For the many do not and never will rule the one, as you do not have the authority to rule against consent or to impose your will on another human being by force of arms.

For no amount of numbers can justify tyranny and fear, and when you cross that line, it is for the one to make a stand for freedom and liberty and go no, enough is enough you have gone too far.

I shall not kneel to fear, I shall not bow to incompetence, I shall not surrender here. You have no right to make that law, and I will not submit to it ever for it crossed the line, and I am not, and never will be a slave to you.

and if that means death so be it, I will not surrender this land, and I shall stand in the devils name, alone if need be to protect it.

You have been warned, invade my land again and you will die as I will never surrender to evil again as you have no honour, and no respect for life, and you do not and never did understand the law, as if you did, you would never have passed this. [act] Its *ultra vires* and should be struck out now.

I am not the law, neither is religion or government, no man is above it and no one shall control it. It just is, and stands above us all uncensored.

It goes to freedom and consent for one and all. Which is why your laws are worthless and have no reality here as you crossed the line and you know it well.

We are entitled to our belief, our speech and if you like it not, tough! its your problem not mine. as the land is not, and never will be yours!

You have been warned, not once, but thrice, and your numbers are meaningless here as my conscience is clear, and I know the law which you have sold out to tyranny and fear again.

Now perhaps someone ought to embrace, pleasure and temptation and get back to the true meaning of life... fun again! Isn't that the final aim to promote fun and for the people to enjoy life rather than living in fear under the threat of persecution.



The Devils Views...

Every act passed is supposed to enhance and improve lives, make them better as we the people improve the standard of living for one and all. We should be seeking to arrive at a place where we need to do less – not more and do it better!

I see no fun here, only fear! and that is unacceptable as we are in the business, of making lives safer, not more miserable as slaves. For I am not a slave, and neither are they, and if you continue to treat us as slaves, you will die in violent revolution as we will not pay money we don't have in tyranny and fear, and we will not surrender this land, which is ours by right, your government is *ultra vires*, and you are not welcome here.

Now fuck off and let me get back to work!!! as you fuck wits are distracting me again, hellllo no money coming in, need money... pour la kindom est casse, je besoin plus d'argent ici maintenant and your not helping at all... now fuck off, and bother someone else, or come up with a way of making money again!!!! As I feel no generosity here for, the kingdom is broke and we will defend the land to the death as we're morons here.

That's a subtle hint –that I need to rewrite this, and everything else I've done –least I fail to look good in the public eye, but due to time constraints and other issues, some definitions of my own as je suis roi for your pleasure.

Government is the coming together of a body of people who in turn decide how to spend the resources that have been donated in order to improve the lives of the people who subscribe to that government.

As we the people come together to form government of our own freewill and consent on the understanding we can break that government, and form new ones at any given time.

It has no authority to dictate to the people what they can and can't do. We are not slaves and any theory or notion that that government has a right to exist and control the people is wrong.

If the people choose to form their own bodies and donate money in the form of "taxes" then that is their right but they do not have the right to extend that government over those who did not consent to it as that path only leads to tyranny and fear.

It is our duty to help improve lives and as such we should expect nothing in return.

Government is merely a mechanism for providing good service and if they are crap then they lose the right to be called a government at all.

and any such government that claims ownership over property and land should be ignored and dismissed, as if one thing is certain in life it's this: government will always enslave the people if it is entrusted to arms.

It's power exists merely to decide how to take the money we donate and contribute in order to spend it for the benefit of the whole under the notion it is there to improve the standard of living for one and all.



The Devils Views...

By all means protect the weak if they consent to it, but your authority and your power does not and never will extend to the subjection and persecution of those who do not consent, we the people give the money because we choose to not because you force us to.

Each of us has the right to walk away from government and form our own. You signed up to that in the united nations declaration of human rights when you gave them permission to renounce their citizenship and the right to own the land [property] as it is not and never was your land to begin with, and so you have no power here.

F**k Off

We are not slaves and its time you learnt that for I rule here for now.

Taxation - The method by which we the people donate money and resources which can't be efficiently used by ourselves - to help the people - to the group collective [Government] which is done to improve lives.

All of which is done on the understanding that by working together we can achieve so much more, and as such make all our lives better as it's hard to accomplish anything on your own.

and lets face it if you let the people starve, they turn to crime and revolution which is bad for all concerned.

As in those cases they are more likely to form armies and go into you, and that's bad for moral, and ones health, unless you really like war which you don't, because one tends to find it detracts from the really important things in life, like sex, pleasure the pursuit of happiness and the peoples enjoyment of the land.

In order to enjoy that life you really need to be free of the stresses of life, and that means good government and public services for one and all which doesn't happen when government turns its attention to tyranny and fear.

[one really ought to get the expenses scandal in here]

and if the money is not spent to raise the standard of care, living, education of the people things go bad and that distracts from the pleasure we should all be getting out of life and well that's just bad government and I think the people have had enough.

In fact I really think you will find that we are all giving money and helping to improve lives here, more efficiently then you and we haven't really seen how you are going to improve on things with your track record and your spending to date.

Especially with your current projections, as we the people give money because we want to and no majority - and let's face it you're not a majority at 16.2% - has the power to force another group against their will.

The judiciary: people who assume too much and try to argue *humpty dumpty* . i.e. they assume a political view and then try and argue a point to make it look balanced when in fact it's neither balanced, nor fair. As words are *humpty dumpty* and you can argue most things either way... beware.



The Devils Views...

If *Humpty dumpty* sat on a wall and *humpty dumpty* had a great fall then all the parties in parliament might have trouble putting *humpty* together again.

The monarch – someone who traditionally looked after the justice system and is pledged to defend freedom and liberty at all costs.

They walk the line between good and evil and if they are approachable, good, balanced and fair then they are respected by one and all.

Which brings us up to date as I know I'm balanced and fair, but it begs the question do the people think I am as there is a line and the people seem to be getting annoyed of late and your current actions appear to have gone too far.

Which begs the question do you like your eggs scrambled? As there is a line and you appear to have crossed it.

Didn't you see it coming?

The Time of Change.

Tick, Tock the mouse ran up the clock. The clock struck 12. The Clock went Boom, Hickory Dickery Dock.

Now what time was that verdict due?

Of course it would never get that far as leaders elected by the people are honourable to the end and would never break their word.

You know the one where they said they would do something if elected and didn't, or was it the one where they said they would **never** do something and appear to be trying to pass now as they seek to pass an act.

Aren't promises to the people binding?

And well this act [tuition fees perhaps?] appears to break that promise.

Which means doesn't every good monarch have a duty to protect the people and veto it? Least they [parliament] break that promise? As I think they do.

Convention isn't the Law and well there is a line which every good monarch is pledged to defend and I think this act is crossing the line.

mais je suis roi Ici pour maintenant and well I can only go with the information, spin, rumour I have to hand and we all know what the press is like these days, but I digress....

Was parliament crossing the line in that scenario?

I think it was *mais je suis roi Ici pour maintenant* and other monarchs might [and did] play it differently as I assure you she did and does have the veto still.



The Devils Views...

Of course one assumes she had a different set of information to hand, and one assumes she had her reasons for letting that act pass but I wouldn't have passed it given the information I have at hand.

I think parliament crossed the line mais je suis roi ici pour maintenant

Where were we? Good monarchs respected for their ability to reason and play fair, which is perhaps how they rose to power to begin with.

As people would die to protect that notion, that ideal of freedom, liberty, honour and respect and people would flock to defend that ideal.

Someone who knows how to use words effectively in order to rally the masses and promote change, someone who would share knowledge freely and who would be willing to stand alone if necessary one against many without fear in order to defend that ideal, that notion of freedom, liberty and honour and respect.

Someone who could rise about petty disputes and see reason, someone with vision, someone sworn to protect the weak and the helpless from harm. Someone who can rally the people to help protect and improve lives and they really need to be good at summing arguments up too as I personally don't live up to those ideals and have probably dug a deep hole under me now.

Power corrupts as the saying goes, and can any one individual live up to those ideals and command loyalty and respect for long? with Democles sword hanging by a thread...

Where does the military stand in this?

The banner raised as rumour spreads out of control, the spread of fear, panic, those who would seek to overthrow, to invade, to destroy lives, who stands in their way then, and why?

Is the time of monarchy really over? As parliaments lose control, as they argue "it's a democracy" when they vote their rights away.

Sooner or later they makes excuses under the notion of security and defence as the pigs walk to quote George Orwell.

Do you really expect an elected leader like Hitler to defend those freedoms? When fear grips the nation and they cater to the masses, the house of cards falling down.

Do you really expect peace in the world when elected leaders continually make excuses and play to majorities out of fear of being elected again? Worried about where their next donation comes from. Selling you out to the highest bidder.

Can an elected official really live up to that ideal? and if so how long for?

Easy to forget why you came to power to begin with, to lose sight of the suffering at the edges of civilisation as resentment grows.



The Devils Views...

As there is always resentment when people fall between the cracks, as they go hungry, starve and go homeless as the whispers grow.

Easy to sweep things under the carpet, to forget - as you get caught up in the spot light of your own little world as people make excuses again, who takes responsibility as compromise after compromise is made in the interests of majorities? At what point is the original plan, the original notion lost in the mist of time and how do you defend against it really?

Once it was monarchs who were accused of over stepping the line, accused of being above the law with their actions and now its elected bodies who seek to argue they have the right to vote the very hard won freedoms won in blood away.

They claim the right to control peoples lives, it's the will of the people they say. Democracy they call it. An excuse to break the law to impose their belief set on another group as the people are slowly brain washed into thinking they have no rights, no freedoms because they are merely individuals, batteries in a machine subservient to the many.

The many never did and never will rule the one, let us pray the people never forget as the voices fall silent again.

7 people vote to rape a women and do so because they can. Isn't that the definition, the notion of Democracy? Where's your protection then?

They have a majority - doesn't that fit in to your definition of democracy?

It's a democracy they are a majority. There numbers are more, they voted to act in a given way. Who steps in then to protect the people? and at what point does an individual step in if It's another "law" and who draws the line and when?

Does anyone have the right to stop them really? A sovereign state, a recognised democracy protected under "law".

And if a democracy consists of elected leaders responsible for voting a "law" in. Do you hold the electorate responsible? they voted their leaders in, aren't they then responsible for the decisions there governments then make?

Some "terrorist" organisations think so and justify "civilian targets" are they really terrorists or "freedom fighters" fighting for the same notion, ideal of freedom to be left in peace or is it just another excuse to impose another belief set on another group by force of arms as the propaganda spins ever out of control.

At what point does someone say enough is enough and step in?

More to the point how do you step in and go enough is enough without making it worse?

Resentment for interfering continually grows and the casualties of war continue to grow as both sides make mistakes. Protect the people they say, but how?



The Devils Views...

At what point do you decide it's too much, how many have to die before someone steps in and acts?

Groups of people flocking together under their own banners, to form their own governments, their own democracies, seeking solice in greater numbers while others blindly give their lives without a second thought and yet the individuals the minorities are left, you say they signed up to democracy and should be bound by it, but it doesn't work as everyone has to live somewhere in the end.

Nothing to worry about if you are the majority at any given time.

The lights go out, freedom is gone, voted away in fear.

Who helps you now? Your alone and persecuted by your government, it's a democracy the many voted your rights, your freedom, your liberty away in order to protect and safeguard their own ideal.

Who helps you now? Your tears, your screams?

Everyone gets caught up in their own little worlds, their own way of life but does anyone really notice those who aren't smiling anymore?

What if its not rape, its "mental illness" to choose a modern example. Your delusional because the majority, the doctor says you are.

You're the wrong religion, your not an atheist, you had a religious psychic experience, you questioned the majority and went against their belief set.

Are you sure your safe in your democracy? and how do you safeguard against it really?

If the people were happy we wouldn't have war, there would be no terrorist acts.

What are the people fighting for really? What are they giving their lives for. Is it really all conquest and oil?

Perhaps its time the sides come together and decide what the law is for one and all.

I say the law is freewill and consent and that the people have the right to change their views notwithstanding it begs the question how disputes are settled in the end.

Humpty dumpty sat on a wall, humpty dumpty had a great fall and all the parties in parliament couldn't put humpty together again as the saying goes but I would say that as je suis roi ici pour maintenant.

"Power hungry selling soldiers in a human grocery store ain't that fresh" to quote the guns and roses song civil war does anything really change as I rally the masses for monarchy again:

The law goes to freewill and consent. The many don't rule the one, we're not slaves. No amount of numbers or flag will dictate to another set that they have the right to take our individual freedoms and liberty away.

parliament is not the law, it has no right to make the law, it has no right to hide the law, to steal it, to rewrite it, and or to replace it, for it has existed long since they came to power, and will exists long after their notion of democracy goes.



The Devils Views...

We the people are equal and no majority, no government, group, organisation and or religion will ever have the right to dictate to another group what they can and can't do under the law.

There will always be people who say yes they can because they have a majority and some individuals will as well in order to extend their belief set over any given group given time.

I no longer care.

the law is freewill and consent and I say do as you will as long as you don't impose your will on another group of individuals who live under your idea of democracy, monarchy or what ever you want to call it.

I rule in the devils name not gods and enough is enough.

We need peace and the unwritten law has been lost in time.

It's time to talk

A cease fire would be good at this point but I'm no good at speeches and who really listens in the end.

Freedom and liberty has always been won in blood and many individuals, and groups have tried to right treaties and pass laws to defend those freedoms but do governments or the people listen really?

Human rights law they call it and we know how that goes down in the press as government argue their statutes over rule it time and time again.

Try and argue human rights law over the mental health act in Britain when they come for you and they will just laugh at you and that's supposedly considered one of the safest countries in the world but I'm a minority so who cares.

The power of majority as they cling to their ideal.

Who protects you now?

No one your on your own

***As to the rest defend the people and hope
je suis roi but not for long***

[I write crap, there are reams of it which I've deleted for now. I may improve up on this lot at some point but yeah who cares in the end]