



# The Devils Views...



## Inheritance Tax

**In 1066 William the Conquer invaded Britain and took over the land he became king of England unto his death in 1087. whereupon his kingdom was split between his sons. With Rufus getting Normandy, and William Rufus the younger of the two getting England instead (perhaps the raw end of the deal?)**

Eitherway what was united in conquest, was split upon death. Now was this fair, or was the kingdom stronger as one? as we look at the reason behind inheritance tax. as if you leave the rich alone, they are prone to build their empires, they use their leverage and gain conquest of the land, all be it, by purchases as opposed to invasion these day. now while some argue this is good, other would argue its bad. like the poor. who work the land, and become slaves to it. as surely this people demand an equal share?

Now there have been times gone by, when the aristocracy has lost its appeal, and well the poor have rebelled. i.e. How dare they treat us as slaves, as they rise up to regain the land in order to take ownership again. thanks to the likes of socialist/communist thinkers like marks', Lenin and other, and who can blame them. when you watch the rich having fun, in their glorious homes, as the poor live on the street, and suffer needlessly, and well where do I stand on this?

On the one hand, I like the idea of building an empire, or a business by peaceful means, and on the other I hate the idea of the poor, invading and destroying my life. I want to live in peace - without invasion, till death.

Though its one thing to work ones way up from a start up, build an empire like Branson, or Suger and well give something back to the people, and its quite another to inherit it, and waste it, and well who are the people again? as we can't forget our roots and yet where do the people stand again? really is it greed, or the desire to help others achieve peace.

If I keep my empire together, and pass it on, the next person will (hopefully) build upon it, and it will grow stronger, and well we will end up with a monopoly as the few end up in power, and the poor suffer again, and well isn't that what inheritance tax was for? to divide the land out, equally again. to encourage people to divide it up, so we didn't end up in a scenario where we had the privileged few, out of touch with the many, and well... how can we keep those big estates as is, when the homeless live on the streets? and yet what about our start in life, do I trust the state to pass on the wealth to

the people? or can I do that better! surely its only fair that I pass on my accomplishments to those I deem worthy, so that they can have a head start in life?

As I reflect on value, and well how much is fair?

On the one hand, you can argue some things are stronger together, and yet on the other, its better split. and yet, who gets to decide again? as we have the notion of companies, trusts and well what was the goal again. does this function better as a unit, and if so: how is it passed on, and well you can do all the taxation you want, but what is the aim? what is the goal, and the reason for it?

The land should go to the people, we have too many big estates, and well we need more housing for the poor, as everyone should get a home, and those companies which deliver productivity, can perhaps function better under competent leadership, which is not necessarily government controlled.

You make the choice, and to make sure you make the choice, and don't leave it to chance, you get taxed for it. as the dreaded inheritance tax looms. as they go £250,000 is too low, and £1 million is too high, and yet where are you living, and how big is the house?

I'm sure some of those bigger structures would do better as apartments, and yet we strive to keep them as is, as dependants are a bitch me thinks.

Why did you let them move in again? and now its their home, and arse we can't split it at all! As I can't turf people out their home, for any reason, it wouldn't be fair. and well perhaps I will get it next time round, as the people can wait.

In the meantime, empires will come and go, and idiots loose the lot, and others will unite them again. under Henry perhaps. as we continue to fight bitterly over who gets what, and why in an argument which is seeming turning into the one we faced with France all these years. what is enough? and well what you have is enough, and this is a bonus, and no one should loose their home under any circumstances. as I distinguish between your home, and cash!

I sidestepped the issue on value, I would like the people to decide, and would like them too think of the future instead! As I contemplate my right to of invade, to force the split, mais je ne roi pas yet, c'est la vive, je devine ;)